

SANDUSKY INVESTIGATION: 2001 Incident May Have Been Known Before 2010

Investigation Took 3 Years Before Arrest

The most recent investigation of Jerry Sandusky's alleged child abuse began in November 2008 which led to his arrest 3 years later in November 2011 and eventually ended with a conviction in June 2012.

Many have questioned why it took then-Attorney General Tom Corbett so long to make an arrest and take Sandusky off the streets. It has been speculated that Corbett was dragging his feet and delaying the arrest for political reasons and because many affluent people connected to Sandusky's non-profit foundation, the Second Mile, were financially supporting Corbett's gubernatorial campaign in 2010. Corbett did not want the bad publicity that Sandusky's arrest would bring to the Second Mile and possibly taint his run for governor.

After two years of going nowhere, Corbett's team finally received the break they needed that blew this case wide open and resulted in Sandusky's arrest in 2011.

The Big Break

We have been told that this 'big break' in the 2 year old probe just happened to come on November 3, 2010, **one day after** Corbett won the gubernatorial election. An anonymous e-mailer apparently claimed he learned about the Mike McQueary 2001 shower incident in an online chat room and wanted to pass this information on to District Attorney Stacy Parks Miller. Discovering this 2001 incident led the investigators to McQueary and eventually to Sandusky's arrest.

Was this anonymous email really the 'big break' Corbett and the OAG needed to make an arrest? Or, had the OAG known about the 2001 incident all along?

Second Mile Knew in 2008

When you review the timeline of information learned in this investigation, it is more likely that the 2001 incident was already known and the OAG purposely sat on this information.

According to this [article](#)¹, 'On Nov. 25, 2008, Jerry Sandusky told Second Mile Director Jack Raykovitz that he had been accused of something inappropriate by a Clinton County boy. Raykovitz, a well-known and respected child psychologist in central Pennsylvania, immediately removed Sandusky from all events involving children, and strongly urged him to stay away from children outside of charity functions, too.'

This [article](#)² states that in 2008, 'Second Mile executive Katherine Genovese (wife of Jack Raykovitz) told a person in authority that the charity already had concerns about Sandusky and certain boys. That conversation is said to have occurred in late 2008 around the same time that a Clinton County boy came forward with detailed allegations of sexual abuse. He became Victim One in the grand jury investigation.'

And finally, this [article](#)³ claims that Gerald Rosamilia, the Director of Clinton County's child welfare agency (CYS) where the 2008 investigation began, said 'Raykovitz's wife told him in November 2008 that Sandusky had been spoken to about getting "too close" to children involved with the charity. Gerald Rosamilia said Raykovitz's wife, Katherine Genovese, who helped run The Second Mile, did not define what was meant by "too close" or give a timeframe. Raykovitz defended himself in a telephone

interview, saying he acted appropriately at all times. "There have always been steps in place to protect kids," he said.'

No Safety Plan in 2001 or 1998

Pennsylvania State law requires that if anyone associated with a child care agency is accused, the agency must be notified and a written safety plan enacted. However, Clinton County child welfare (CYS) director, Rosamilia, told [The Patriot-News](#)⁴ that 'he did not believe a safety plan was necessary.'

This happened, as well, in 1998 when Sandusky was investigated in Centre County for suspected child abuse. The same article says: 'In that case, Jerry Lauro, the DPW investigator, said he would have put a safety plan in place if he felt it was necessary. Sources close to the charity say no safety plan was implemented in either 1998 or 2008.'

Ray Blehar points out in this [blog post](#)⁵ that Sandusky continued to be around kids after the investigation was launched in 2008 and that he abused Victim 9 from 2005 **into 2009**, after the probe began. It is unfathomable that these child welfare agencies (DPW and CYS) are not being investigated and held accountable for not putting the required safety plan in place.

Second Mile Connections with Corbett

Blehar also pointed out to me that Second Mile board members donated thousands of dollars to Corbett's campaign and that Bob Poole, Chairman of The Second Mile (1995-2012), held a fundraiser in 2010 at his home for Corbett. According to this PennLive [article](#)⁶, 'Corbett's press secretary, Kevin Harley, dismisses any suggestion of impropriety regarding the fundraiser Poole held for Corbett.

Furthermore, Harley said, "**Nobody** was aware, other than the governor and people in the attorney general's office, of the (2008) investigation."

Poole, however, said **he did know** about it.

In his email, Pool said that "to the best of my recollection, I first learned in 2008 or 2009 that authorities were investigating Mr. Sandusky."

Second Mile Knew About 2001 and Most Likely 1998

It has been well established that back in 2001 Mike McQueary reported the shower incident to PSU Athletic Director Tim Curley who reported the incident to Second Mile Director Jack Raykovitz, who in turn relayed the incident to Second Mile Chairman Poole and board member Bruce Heim. Although Second Mile denies knowing about the 1998 investigation, it is highly probable that Sandusky acted in the same manner and alerted his foundation three years earlier to that investigation. And again, Pennsylvania State law requires that if anyone associated with a child care agency is accused, the agency must be notified. So, are Second Mile leaders lying about 1998 or did DPW and CYS endanger the children by not following required protocol and alerting the foundation of the 1998 probe?

Did Second Mile Alert OAG of 2001 and 1998 Incidents?

It is clear from the articles that Second Mile's Raykovitz and Genovese were well aware of the 2008 investigation into Sandusky. It is likely that they were contacted by the Pennsylvania State Police early in the Sandusky investigation and would have revealed their knowledge of the 2001 incident to investigators. That information would likely have been turned over to the Office of Attorney General (OAG) when the investigation was transferred to them in March 2009.

Therefore, if and when the OAG spoke with Raykovitz concerning the allegations against Sandusky in 2008, wouldn't Raykovitz have mentioned the 2001 and 1998 incidents? After all, this man is in charge of a foundation that cares for the welfare of underprivileged children and it would have been his duty to disclose all related information about Sandusky.

In addition, in the article above Raykovitz claims he acted *appropriately at all times* and that there are *'steps in place to protect kids.'* So, even if the OAG did not contact him, it would seem to be his legal responsibility and moral obligation to inform the investigators about every inappropriate incident that had come to Second Mile's attention regarding Sandusky throughout the years.

Given that the Director and Chairman of the Second Mile were well aware of the 2001 incident and that they knew about the 2008 investigation early on, it is difficult to believe that the 2001 incident was not made known to Corbett and the OAG before the 'big break.'

Perhaps Raykovitz did not inform the OAG in 2008 of the 2001 and 1998 incidents. If not, therein lies a cover-up of Sandusky's crimes by the Second Mile, a foundation where it seems some have no concern at all to act appropriately or to protect kids.

Was OAG Sitting on Information?

We also learned in Aaron Fisher's book, *Silent No More*, Senior Deputy Attorney General Jonelle Eshbach told Mike Gillum in **June 2009** about the 1998 incident. However, the investigators did not get the 1998 police file from PSU until **January 2011**.

There certainly seems to be a pattern of the OAG withholding information regarding the 1998 and 2001 incidents during their three year probe of Sandusky.

So again I ask: was the 2010 anonymous email tip about the 2001 incident really the 'big break' the OAG needed to arrest Sandusky due to a Second Mile cover-up of 2001? Or, had the OAG known about the 2001 incident all along, delaying Sandusky's arrest for years, only to 'discover' it after Corbett's victory?

Whatever is true, this is for certain: the corruption and deception in this investigation lies within the Second Mile and/or the Office of Attorney General, but not with Penn State officials.

Eileen Morgan
March 14, 2014

¹ http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2012/08/second_mile_jerry_sandusky_2.html

² http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2011/11/second_mile_executive_katherin.html

³ http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/12/19/jerry-sandusky-scandal-second-mile-members_n_1157536.html

⁴ http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2012/08/jerry_sandusky_case_review_bei.html

⁵ <http://www.notpsu.blogspot.com/2013/11/ganim-patriot-news-continue-cover-up.html>

⁶ http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2011/12/gov_tom_corbetts_team_defends.html